UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/562,740	06/05/2006	Donald Borthwick	2003P07721WOUS	3839
22116 7590 01/10/2008 SIEMENS CORPORATION INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT			EXAMINER	
			VERDIER, CHRISTOPHER M	
170 WOOD A' ISELIN, NJ 08	VENUE SOUTH 830		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			3745	
		•	MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			01/10/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	· H			
	Application No.	Applicant(s)		
	10/562,740	BORTHWICK ET AL.		
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
·	Christopher Verdier	3745		
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	h the correspondence address		
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REP WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perions are provided by the office later than three months after the mail earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re of will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON ute, cause the application to become AB.	CATION. cply be timely filed ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05</u>	June 2006.			
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ Th				
3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under	•	•		
Disposition of Claims				
4) Claim(s) 21-40 is/are pending in the applicat 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdr 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 21-40 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	rawn from consideration.			
Application Papers				
9) The specification is objected to by the Examin	ner.			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 January 2006</u> is/a	re: a)⊡ accepted or b)⊠ ot	ejected to by the Examiner.		
Applicant may not request that any objection to the		` '		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	, -			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a lie	nts have been received. nts have been received in Apiority documents have been au (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	oplication No received in this National Stage		
See the attached detailed Office action for a ni	st of the certified copies not t	eceiveu.		
Attachment(s)	 i			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 1-9-06 	Paper No(s	ummary (PTO-413))/Mail Date formal Patent Application 		

10/562,740

Art Unit: 3745

Receipt and entry of Applicant's Preliminary Amendment and Substitute Specification dated January 9, 2006 is acknowledged.

Drawings

The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description: 45, 46, 56, and 57. Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Objections

Claims 23 and 32-40 are objected to because of the following informalities: Appropriate correction is required.

In claim 23, line 2, "transition" should be changed to -- transitions --.

In claim 32, last line, "a" should be changed to -- the --.

Page 3

Art Unit: 3745

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 21-40 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 21, line 2, "the turbine" lacks antecedent basis. Claim 21, the last two lines, which refer to the rotor-side and stator-side ends having a negative sweep angle measured between the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface and the fluid flow direction, are unclear. It is unclear if Applicant is attempting to claim that the rotor-side and stator-side ends have a negative sweep angle measured between the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface at the rotor-side end and the stator-side end, respectively, and the fluid flow direction, or not. In claim 32, line 2, "the turbine" lacks antecedent basis. In claim 32, line 6, "the leading edge" lacks antecedent basis. Claims 35 and 36, which refer to the rotor-side end being inclined at specific angles, are unclear if this inclination is relative to the fluid flow direction, or relative to the delivery side inclination.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

10/562,740

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 21-29, as far as they are definite and understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Lings 4,504,189. Disclosed is a turbine blade 16, comprising a stator-side end near 18 located toward an unnumbered stationary stator cylinder of the turbine, a rotor-side end near 17 located toward an axial rotor 15 of the turbine, an unnumbered leading edge located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end, and a trailing edge 24 located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end and located downstream of the leading edge with respect to a fluid flow direction, wherein the rotor-side and stator-side ends have a negative sweep angle as measured between the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface and the fluid flow direction. Negative sweep results in the direction of flow being rotated in a mathematically negative direction in order to achieve a coincidence of the direction of flow with respect to the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface. The negative sweep angle of the rotor-side and stator-side ends transition into a positive sweep in a region between the leading edge and trailing edge, near line 3-3. The rotor-side end negative sweep is about 68 degrees. The stator-side end negative sweep is about 50 degrees. The turbine blade is a stationary guide blade. The leading edge is arranged in front of the trailing edge in the axial direction of flow at the stator-side end and the rotor-side end. The turbine blade is arranged in a turbomachine.

Claims 21-22, 24, 26-29, 32-33, 35, and 37-38, as far as they are definite and understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Liu 2002/0141863 (figures 1-5).

Disclosed is a turbine blade 30, comprising a stator-side end near 46 located toward a stationary

10/562,740

Art Unit: 3745

stator cylinder 28 of the turbine, a rotor-side end near 44 located toward an axial rotor 20/22/32 of the turbine, a leading edge 40 located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end, and a trailing edge 42 located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end and located downstream of the leading edge with respect to a fluid flow direction 24, wherein the rotor-side and stator-side ends have a negative sweep angle as measured between the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface and the fluid flow direction. Negative sweep results in the direction of flow being rotated in a mathematically negative direction in order to achieve a coincidence of the direction of flow with respect to the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface. The rotor-side end negative sweep is about 88 degrees. The stator-side end negative sweep is about 88 degrees. The turbine blade is a stationary guide blade. The leading edge is arranged in front of the trailing edge in the axial direction of flow at the stator-side end and the rotor-side end. The turbine blade is arranged in a turbomachine. A delivery side 36 is located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end, and a suction side 38 is located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end and located down-stream of the leading edge with respect to the fluid flow direction, wherein the rotor-side end is inclined toward the delivery side and the stator-side end is inclined with respect to the fluid flow direction. The stator side end is inclined at an angle of about 88 degrees. The rotor-side end is inclined with respect to the fluid flow direction at an angle of about 88 degrees. The rotor-side end is inclined at about a 65 degree angle between the stacking axis 50 and a tangent to the rotor side inner as seen in figure 3. Blade 30 is considered to be a turbine blade since it is located in a gas turbine engine.

10/562,740

Art Unit: 3745

Claims 21-22, 24, and 26-31, as far as they are definite and understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Bessay 4,500,256 (figure 1). Disclosed is a turbine blade (stationary blades 1 or the unnumbered rotating blades attached to rotor 3), comprising a stator-side end near 4 located toward a stationary stator cylinder 4 of the turbine, a rotor-side end near 3 located toward an axial rotor 3 of the turbine, a leading edge (to the left) located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end, and a trailing edge (to the right) located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end and located down-stream of the leading edge with respect to a fluid flow direction shown by the arrow, wherein the rotor-side and stator-side ends have a negative sweep angle as measured between the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface and the fluid flow direction. Negative sweep results in the direction of flow being rotated in a mathematically negative direction in order to achieve a coincidence of the direction of flow with respect to the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface. The rotor-side end negative sweep for a stator blade is about 85 degrees. The stator-side end negative sweep for a stator blade is about 85 degrees. The turbine blade is a stationary guide blade or a rotating blade. The leading edge is arranged in front of the trailing edge in the axial direction of flow at the stator-side end and the rotor-side end. The turbine blade is arranged in a turbomachine. A second up-stream turbine guide blade is located at a constant axial distance from a rotating turbine blade. A trailing edge of the second up-stream guide blade is located at a constant axial distance from the leading edge of the rotating blade at the rotor-side end.

Claims 21-22, 24, 26-31, 32-33, 35, and 37-40 as far as they are definite and understood, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Sato 5,249,922 (figures 1-3, 8-9, and

10/562,740

Art Unit: 3745

10b-10c). Disclosed is a turbine blade 1 or 2, comprising a stator-side end near 5 located toward a stationary stator cylinder 5 of the turbine, a rotor-side end near D located toward an axial rotor 2 of the turbine, a leading edge 1a located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end, and a trailing edge 1b located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end and located downstream of the leading edge with respect to a fluid flow direction, wherein the rotor-side and stator-side ends have a negative sweep angle as measured between the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface and the fluid flow direction. Negative sweep results in the direction of flow being rotated in a mathematically negative direction in order to achieve a coincidence of the direction of flow with respect to the instantaneous tangent of the blade surface. The rotor-side end negative sweep for a stator blade is about 85 degrees. The stator-side end negative sweep for a stator blade is about 85 degrees. The turbine blade is a stationary guide blade 1 or a rotating blade 2. The leading edge is arranged in front of the trailing edge in the axial direction of flow at the stator-side end and the rotor-side end. The turbine blade is arranged in a turbomachine. Concerning claims 30-31 and 39-40, in figures 8-9 and 10b-10c, a second up-stream turbine guide blade 1 is located at a constant axial distance from a rotating turbine blade 2. A trailing edge of the second up-stream guide blade is located at a constant axial distance from the leading edge of the rotating blade at the rotor-side end. A concave delivery side (see figures 1-3) of blade 1 is located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end, and a convex suction side of blade 1 is located between the stator-side end and the rotor-side end and located down-stream of the leading edge with respect to the fluid flow direction, wherein the rotor-side end is inclined toward the delivery side and the stator-side end is inclined with respect to the fluid flow direction. The stator side end is inclined at an angle of about 85 degrees. The rotor-side end is

10/562,740 Art Unit: 3745

inclined with respect to the fluid flow direction at an angle of about 85 degrees. The rotor-side end is inclined at about a 65 degree angle between the leading edge and a tangent to the rotor side inner as seen in figure 2 (formed between the rightmost line of angle γ Ri and the tangent to the rotor side inner).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Claim 34, as far as it is definite and understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato 5,249,922. Sato (figures 1-3) disclose a turbine blade 1 substantially as claimed as set forth above, including the stator-side end inclined at an angle of about 85 degrees, but does not disclose that the angle of inclination is 70 degrees.

10/562,740

Art Unit: 3745

The recitation of the angle of inclination of the stator-side end relative to the fluid flow direction being 70 degrees is a matter of choice in design. The angle of inclination of the stator-side end relative to the fluid flow direction of a turbine blade is a result-effective variable which influences the turbine efficiency and performance. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to select the angle of inclination of the stator-side end relative to the fluid flow direction in the turbine of Sato to be a specific value, such as 70 degrees, for the purpose of optimizing the turbine efficiency and performance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Claim 36, as far as it is definite and understood, is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over either Liu 2002/0141863 or Sato 5,249,922. Liu (figures 1-5) or Sato (figures 1-3) disclose turbine blades 30/1 substantially as claimed as set forth above. In Liu, the rotor-side end is inclined with respect to the fluid flow direction at an angle of about 88 degrees, and the rotor-side end is inclined at about a 65 degree angle between the stacking axis 50 and a tangent to the rotor side inner as seen in figure 3. In Sato, the rotor-side end is inclined with respect to the fluid flow direction at an angle of about 85 degrees. The rotor-side end is inclined at about a 65 degree angle between the leading edge and a tangent to the rotor side inner as seen in figure 2.

However, Liu or Sato do not disclose that the angle of inclination is 75 degrees.

Page 10

Application/Control Number:

10/562,740

Art Unit: 3745

The recitation of the angle of inclination of the rotor-side end is a matter of choice in design. The angle of inclination of the rotor-side end relative to the fluid flow direction of a turbine blade, and/or the angle of inclination of the rotor-side end relative to the delivery side of a turbine blade are result-effective variables which influence the turbine efficiency and performance. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to select the angle of inclination of the rotor-side end relative to the fluid flow direction and/or the angle of inclination of the rotor-side end relative to the delivery side of the turbine blade in the turbine of Liu or Sato to be a specific value, such as 75 degrees, for the purpose of optimizing the turbine efficiency and performance, since it has been held that discovering an optimum value of a result effective variable involves only routine skill in the art. *In re Boesch*, 617 F.2d 272, 205 USPQ 215 (CCPA 1980).

Prior Art

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Reluzco (figure 8) is cited to show a turbine blade with a negative sweep angle at the leading edge. This reference could also have been applied as it anticipates at least claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. 102, but is not applied at this time in order to avoid multiple rejections.

Ito is cited to show a turbine blade and a turbine vane with a negative sweep angle at the leading edge. This reference could also have been applied as it anticipates at least claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. 102, but is not applied at this time in order to avoid multiple rejections.

10/562,740

Art Unit: 3745

Rowland is cited to show a turbine blade having negative sweep angles at a root and a tip, and a positive sweep angle at a mid portion, and curved toward the delivery side at the root. This reference could also have been applied as it anticipates at least claim 21 under 35 U.S.C. 102, but is not applied at this time in order to avoid multiple rejections.

Wood is cited to show a turbine blade that is inclined toward the delivery side at a rotor-side end. This reference could also have been applied as it anticipate at least claim 32 under 35 U.S.C. 102, but is not applied at this time in order to avoid multiple rejections.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Christopher Verdier whose telephone number is (571) 272-4824. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 10:00-6:30.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Edward K. Look can be reached on (571) 272-4820. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

C.V.

December 31, 2007

Christopher Verdier Primary Examiner

Art Unit 3745